

E-Filed on 5/14/10

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,  
LLC,<sup>1</sup>

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST  
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED  
FUND, LLC,<sup>2</sup>

USA SECURITIES, LLC,<sup>3</sup>

Debtors.

**Affects:**

- ☐ All Debtors
- ☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>3</sup>

**CHAPTER 11**

Jointly Administered Under Case No.  
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
CLAIM BASED ENTIRELY UPON  
INVESTMENT IN THE GRAMERCY  
COURT LOAN**

Date of Hearing: June 15, 2010

Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by

<sup>1</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

individual investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based entirely upon an investment in a loan (the “Gramercy Court Loan”) to Gramercy Court, Ltd. (“Borrower”). This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Gramercy Court Loan, both of which were filed with the Court today (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. BACKGROUND FACTS

#### a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor’s post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass

Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the Gramercy Court Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC, et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution[, LLC] and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset Resolution as a “single purpose entity,” conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of Compass, including the Purchased Assets. (citations omitted)” Asset Resolution is now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>4</sup> A trustee has been appointed in the Asset Resolution case.

<sup>4</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC, Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP Anchor B SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

1 The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district  
2 court litigation, but candidly has only limited information concerning servicing and  
3 resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including  
4 the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution.

5 **b. The Gramercy Court Loan**

6 In May and June 2004, USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct  
7 Lenders soliciting funding for a construction loan to a borrower identified as “Gramercy  
8 Court Condominiums.” A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and  
9 incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.)

10 On June 25, 2004, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the  
11 Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a “Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust”  
12 (the “Note”) and a related “Construction Loan Agreement” (“Loan Agreement”) (Berman  
13 Decl., ¶5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a construction loan with an initial  
14 distribution of \$10,462,500 and subsequent distributions that would, if made, bring the  
15 total amount of the loan up to \$37,250,000. (Berman Decl., ¶5.) The loan was intended to  
16 fund the construction of a condominium development located in Harris County, Texas.  
17 (Berman Decl., ¶5.)

18 The Note is secured by a Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement  
19 and Fixture Filing (“Deed of Trust”) on real property and improvements thereon, as  
20 described in the Deed of Trust. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) The Deed of Trust was recorded on  
21 June 30, 2004 in the Official Records of the Harris County, Texas. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.)

22 The Note is also secured by a Guaranty given by Tracy Suttles (the “Guarantor”),  
23 wherein the Guarantor agreed to pay all indebtedness owed by Borrower related to the  
24 Note and all amendments to it. (Berman Decl., ¶ 8.)

25 In addition to the initial loan of \$10,462,500, USACM originated 14 subsequent  
26 advances using Direct Lender funding. (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) For each one Borrower and

USACM entered into an “Amendment to Deed of Trust” and “Amendment to Loan Documents.” (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) The last of such amendments were the Fourteenth Amendment to Deed of Trust and Fourteenth Amendment to Loan Documents. (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) Both were executed by Borrower, through its President Tracy Suttles, on February 8, 2006 and recorded in the official records of Harris County, Texas on February 14, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) These final amendments indicate that the total amount funded on the Gramercy Court Loan after the 14<sup>th</sup> distribution was \$34,384,500. (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.)

According to USACM records, Borrower had, by July 31, 2006, defaulted on the Note. (Berman Decl., ¶ 10.) During the bankruptcy case, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court’s orders and the confirmed Plan. The USACM Trust does not know the ultimate disposition of the Gramercy Court Loan, the collateral securing that loan or whether the Direct Lenders will be repaid in whole or in part. (Berman Decl., ¶ 10.)

### c. The Gramercy Court Claims

**Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be based entirely upon an investment in the Gramercy Court Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant’s address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in the Gramercy Court Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant (in this instance the Trust is objecting to the entire claim). (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the “Gramercy Court Claims.” As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the above-referenced claims are attached as **Exhibit C**.

**II. JURISDICTION**

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

**III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

**IV. THE OBJECTION**

The Gramercy Court Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length transaction. The Direct Lenders listed in **Exhibit A** fail to state a claim based upon the Gramercy Court Loan because USACM did not guarantee the Direct Lenders’ investment in the Gramercy Court Loan. USACM is not liable for the Borrower’s default or any decrease in the value of the collateral caused by the downturn in the real estate market.

The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM did not breach the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Gramercy Court Loan. USACM

1 was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the Gramercy Court Loan or take  
2 any other action.

3 To the extent that the Direct Lenders were repaid, or are repaid in the future, on the  
4 Gramercy Court Loan, their claims should be reduced accordingly.

5 **V. CONCLUSION**

6 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims listed in  
7 **Exhibit A** in full because those claims are based entirely upon an investment in the  
8 Gramercy Court Loan. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is  
9 just and proper.

10 Dated: May 14, 2010.

11 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

12 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)

13 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593

14 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

15 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

16 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

18 E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com

19 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

20 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
21 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
22 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
23 May 14, 2010 to all parties listed on  
24 Exhibit A attached.

25 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

26 s/ Leilani Lista